

Ravine Bridge Timeline

Only meeting with motions regarding bridge and barriers until January

May 10 meeting:

1:24:00 Motion to design barriers, which will then be approved and discussed for price options.

1:26:00 Discussion starts about keeping pedestrian path. Legal says at 1:18:00 and 1:23:00 that there was consensus to build a new structure to eliminate pedestrian path

1:34:00 Kenyon explains original plan to just repair abutment.

1:42:00 Hooks talks about trestle. Baker says new trestle. Kenyon says that wasn't original plan. Kenyon says getting rid of the pedestrian bridge would get rid of the attractive nuisance but would have to build a parallel structure to keep the pipe flowing in the meantime. Building a trestle would move us 10 feet off the erosion path.

1:49:30 Kenyon recaps, "just to restate" we will take a look into where to move the line and install a new trestle.

No motion. Just direction to Kenyon to start design of new trestle and line.

Board waiting for design and will give approval on barricades

Waiting for design involving moving line and designing new trestle

June 14
1:50:00 Tyus summarizes status by saying the focus is putting pylons in the center crossing. No work happening on barriers. Debate about using "bridges" and man-ratings

1:51:00 Tyus says Kenyon is focusing on crossing design before doing barriers.

1:52:45 Baker says we notified Lakewood the structures were closed. States that the redesigned structures will not be pedestrian paths.

1:55:30 Kenyon "I have reached out for prices on barriers, not only on this one we are stabilizing but on the others as well."

Comment:
At this point, we had not notified Lakewood the structures were "closed". We had posted signs the structures were "dangerous" but signs were removed. City asked for clarification in April that was not provided. Tyus notified city of bridge barricades in Dec 2022.

Two conflicting beliefs shown in this meeting.
Hooks and Baker believe a **new trestle** was asked for, Manager and engineer believing only stabilization of **existing structure** taking place

July-August
No report

September
00:20:13 Kenyon says he completed a survey of existing manholes and have started fixing the easement.
Kenyon submitted package to Lakewood for review

Question:
But weren't we moving the pipe to new structure so needed the new locations? City still thinks easement is not fixed. *What's the status?*

October 11
00:28:30 Tyus status update - Signs with new language are posted. Tyus says Kenyon is working on barricade bids and pylon construction

For comparison

July meeting Merrick and staff conceptualize new Kipling design. Got approval to start design.	Lakewood Review 10700 submitted to Lakewood August 10 Through review by mid-Sept	Oct 11 meeting Tyus "Got word today the bid is posted." on the Kipling to Alameda connection. Back from bid by Dec 13.
---	--	---

November 8
00:26:56 Tyus status update - City looked at plans and now Merrick is working on it again.
00:30:20 Tyus states barricades are getting reviewed by Lakewood
Morgan asks what Lakewood has to do with the barricades on GMWSD property. Tyus did not know. Will get back to us
Legal discussion about why city would be involved in barricades
Tyus repeats he will get back to us on what's going on.

Comment:
Barriers were **never sent** to Lakewood for review. Only plans for new pylons under existing structure. *Do we need to?*

December 12 engineering meeting
Morgan asks Tyus for bridge/barricade status
Tyus responds he will find out

December 13 meeting
00:38:55 Tyus recaps the situation from the beginning again. He talks of support for the middle structure, pylons. States the City called again today to say they don't want the north bridge closed. "City has called a few times". Says we are putting supports under the bridge.
Morgan asks about barricade, what do we need from the city besides access to install barricades.
Consensus to escalate the matter, to get legal and Wendell involved to find out about holdups.
48:45 Tyus says it's important to note that we will support the center bridge first before putting barricades up.
Morgan and Baker reiterate that barricades are first. Looks at simplified barricade.
No further board actions

No motion for barriers. Clarification made that yes, we were still expecting barriers while we wait for bridge design.

Jan 10
Public comment and recap of situation
Morgan suggests no formal action until after executive session.

Jan 23
Motion in install barriers. Barrier specifics left to Manager
Repair plans back from Lakewood and Merrick. No motion but approval from everyone except Morgan to proceed with abutment repair, not move and replace